[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: 15 September 2026 20:32
To: Crown Casework
Cc: calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk; wpwsobjection@gmail.com
Subject: Objection to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, Site A, MOD Bicester

[redacted]

Dear Secretary of State,

I am writing as a member of the public, who lives close by to the proposed site, to object to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, the proposed 1,256-person asylum accommodation centre at Site A, MOD Bicester, Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE.

I kindly ask that planning permission be refused.

[redacted], I am concerned about the impact that this proposal may have on the local GP practices and health services when the services are already stretched. If 1,256 extra adults are moving into the area, a number of them will have health needs, and the waiting times for GP and hospital appointments will extend when they are already too long in lots of circumstances. I used to work in accident and emergency and witnessed the effect that long waiting times can have on patients' well-being. [redacted] practise effectively, preserve safety and promote professionalism and trust, and I would expect the same from people working in government on our behalf. I cannot see how a proposal of this site prioritises the asylum seekers well-being or those of local residents, is effective practice or preserves the safety of local residents or the asylum seekers. The way this proposal has been handled does not promote professionalism when the original proposal was cancelled many years ago.

I have major concerns about the evidence submitted with the application. Important ecological and technical work remains outstanding, and significant questions remain around fire safety, ground conditions and the suitability of the site.

I have been led to understand that there is also a wide variety of wildlife including rare species within the area that may be affected if the proposal to house the asylum seekers goes ahead.

The transport evidence also raises serious questions. The Transport Assessment repeatedly refers to the wrong road, while the B4011 serving the site has limited pedestrian infrastructure and a recorded history of serious collisions with some fatalities in the area. This is a concern for the men who would be residing at the site as they would have no access to secure pavements,

The application has not demonstrated a confirmed permanent solution for essential infrastructure such as the supply of electricity and wastewater to the site. I do not believe a development accommodating up to 1,256 people should be approved while such fundamental matters remain unresolved.

The proposal is also exceptionally large for an isolated rural location with little surrounding infrastructure, limited public transport and few services within walking distance.

I am also aware that there is a football pitch opposite the proposed site that is used by children for training purposes, and worried that this site will be easily accessed by s large group of men which is likely to be unsettling for the children and their parents.

I also worry for individuals walking on their own in the area, and the impact such a large group of men may have on their safety or perceived safety which can affect their well-being. This has already caused a large amount of stress and worry for many of my friends and colleagues and stress can have an impact on our body physically and emotionally, which can have a long-term impact on our physical and emotional health.

I am concerned that the extremely short representation period does not provide sufficient time for residents, councils and technical specialists to properly examine such a substantial and complicated application. The application documents run to well over 1,000 pages across multiple technical disciplines, and it is not reasonable to expect them to be read and properly considered in such a short time.

Taken together, these issues mean I do not believe the applicant has demonstrated that Site A is a suitable location for a development of this scale.

I therefore respectfully request that planning permission be refused.

Yours sincerely

[redacted]